


BIOINFORMATICALLY ANALYZED RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SPECIFIC HUMAN GENES ASSOCIATED WITH HIV ATTACHMENT



V.S. Davydenko^a, Yu.V. Ostankova^a, A.N. Schemelev^a, E.V. Anufrieva^a, V.V. Kushnareva^a,
A.A. Totolian^{a,b}

^a St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

^b I. Pavlov First St. Petersburg State Medical University, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

Abstract. *Introduction.* Assessing interaction between the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and human factors is crucial for understanding the disease pathogenesis. HIV triggers an immune response that involves numerous cellular and molecular processes related to inflammation, cell migration, and disrupted tissue barrier functions. Such reactions build up a cascade in which chemokines and cognate co-receptors, as well as other molecules regulating the immune response, play a key role. However, the interaction between HIV and the human organism cannot be reduced to a simple mechanism because it represents a multilayered system where crucial molecules and events may be unknown or require further study. *Objective:* to assess a significance of candidate genes potentially involved in the pathogenesis of HIV infection during the phase of viral attachment to cell, based on assessing gene expression, localization, and involvement in biological pathways and processes. *Materials and methods.* The study compared the characteristics of the 100 most promising candidate genes (CG) according to the HumanNet web resource with background genes (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CCR2*, *CD4*), known to be reliably linked to HIV attachment. Expression data, localization, and involvement in various cellular pathways and processes for the candidate and background genes were analyzed. A scoring system was developed to assess the significance of each gene in the context of its role in immune and inflammatory responses. *Results.* A total of 100 candidate genes were analyzed. Using the developed scoring system, a number of genes were identified as significant based on the analyzed parameter: 17 candidates — significant by expression profile; 7 — by localization; 17 — by involvement in biological pathways; and 25 — by involvement in biological processes. The final ranking revealed 55 candidate genes. The identified candidate genes were classified into the following functional groups: chemokine co-receptors and their ligands; genes and proteins associated with G-proteins; and a group for which a common functional role or family could not be established. *Conclusions.* The identified correlations between the candidate genes and background genes highlight the need to further investigate CG interactions in HIV pathogenesis allowing for a more detailed assessment of the contribution of both individual genes and entire systems, which, in the future, will expand our understanding of the molecular mechanisms behind HIV infection and, hypothetically, accelerate the discovery of new (or the expansion of existing) therapeutic models.

Key words: human immunodeficiency virus, virus-host interaction, protein-protein interactions, candidate genes, *in silico*, *CD4*, *CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CCR2*.

Адрес для переписки:

Давыденко Владимир Сергеевич
197101, Россия, Санкт-Петербург, ул. Мира, 14,
ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера.
Тел.: 8 962 108-69-07.
E-mail: vladimir_david@mail.ru

Contacts:

Vladimir S. Davydenko
197101, Russian Federation, St. Petersburg, Mira str., 14,
St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute.
Phone: +7 962 108-69-07.
E-mail: vladimir_david@mail.ru

Для цитирования:

Давыденко В.С., Останкова Ю.В., Щемелев А.Н., Ануфриева Е.В.,
Кушнарева В.В., Тотолиан А.А. Биоинформатический анализ
взаимосвязей между специфическими генами человека,
ассоциированными с прикреплением ВИЧ // Инфекция и иммунитет.
2024. Т. 14, № 6. С. 1153–1168. doi: 10.15789/2220-7619-BAR-17830

Citation:

Davydenko V.S., Ostankova Yu.V., Schemelev A.N., Anufrieva E.V.,
Kushnareva V.V., Totolian A.A. Bioinformatically analyzed relationships
between specific human genes associated with HIV attachment // Russian
Journal of Infection and Immunity = Infektsiya i immunitet, 2024, vol. 14,
no. 6, pp. 1153–1168. doi: 10.15789/2220-7619-BAR-17830

This research was supported by Russian Science Foundation grant 24-25-00479 "Assessing the potential significance of host genetic factors in human immunodeficiency virus infection and disease progression" (<https://rscf.ru/project/24-25-00479>)

Исследование выполнено за счет гранта Российского научного фонда № 24-25-00479 от 29 декабря 2023 г. по теме «Оценка потенциальной значимости генетических факторов хозяина в инфицировании вирусом иммунодефицита человека и развитии заболевания» (<https://rscf.ru/project/24-25-00479>)

БИОИНФОРМАТИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ ВЗАИМОСВЯЗЕЙ МЕЖДУ СПЕЦИФИЧЕСКИМИ ГЕНАМИ ЧЕЛОВЕКА, АССОЦИИРОВАННЫМИ С ПРИКРЕПЛЕНИЕМ ВИЧ

Давыденко В.С.¹, Останкова Ю.В.¹, Щемелев А.Н.¹, Ануфриева Е.В.¹, Кушнарева В.В.¹, Тотолян А.А.^{1,2}

¹ ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия

² ФГБОУ ВО Первый Санкт-Петербургский государственный медицинский университет имени академика И.П. Павлова МЗ РФ, Санкт-Петербург, Россия

Резюме. *Введение.* Изучение взаимодействия вируса иммунодефицита человека (ВИЧ) с факторами человеческого организма имеет ключевое значение для понимания патогенеза заболевания. ВИЧ вызывает иммунную реакцию, которая включает в себя множество клеточных и молекулярных процессов, связанных с воспалением, миграцией клеток и нарушением барьерных функций тканей. Эти реакции образуют каскад, в котором важную роль играют как хемокины и их корецепторы, так и другие молекулы, регулирующие иммунный ответ. Проблема состоит в том, что взаимодействие ВИЧ с человеческим организмом невозможно свести к простому механизму — это сложная система, в которой ключевые молекулы и механизмы могут быть неизвестны и требуют дальнейшего изучения. Целью исследования была оценка значимости генов-кандидатов, потенциально участвующих в патогенезе ВИЧ-инфекции на стадии прикрепления вируса к клетке, на основании оценки экспрессии, локализации и участия в биологических путях и процессах. *Материалы и методы.* В работе было проведено сравнение характеристик 100 наиболее перспективных генов-кандидатов (ГК) согласно веб-ресурсу HumanNet с фоновыми генами (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CCR2*, *CD4*), для которых достоверно показана связь с прикреплением ВИЧ к клетке. Были проанализированы данные экспрессии, локализации, а также вовлеченности в различные клеточные пути и процессы генов-кандидатов и фоновых генов. В ходе работы была разработана система баллового ранжирования, которая позволила оценить значимость каждого гена в контексте его участия в иммунных и воспалительных реакциях. *Результаты.* Проанализировано 100 генов-кандидатов. С использованием разработанного метода баллового ранжирования ряд генов был определен, как значимый в зависимости от анализируемой характеристики: значимые по профилю экспрессии — 17 кандидатов, локализации — 7, участие в биологических путях — 17, в биологических процессах — 25. По результатам итогового ранжирования выявлено 55 ГК. Выявленные ГК были отнесены к следующим функциональным группам: хемокиновые корецепторы и их лиганды, гены и белки, связанные с G-белками, а также группа, для членов которой не удалось установить общую функциональную роль или семейство. *Выводы.* Выявленные корреляции между ГК и фоновыми генами акцентируют внимание на необходимости дальнейшего изучения взаимодействий ГК в патогенезе ВИЧ. Это позволит более детально оценить вклад как отдельных генов, так и целых систем, что, в дальнейшем, расширит наше понимание молекулярных механизмов ВИЧ-инфекции, а также, гипотетически, ускорит обнаружение новых или расширение существующих терапевтических моделей.

Ключевые слова: вирус иммунодефицита человека, взаимодействие вирус–хозяин, белок-белковые взаимодействия, гены-кандидаты, *in silico*, *CD4*, *CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CCR2*.

Introduction

The disease caused by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) remains one of the most serious public health challenges worldwide. According to recent data, the number of people living with HIV reaches nearly 40 million [16]. HIV infection is characterized by a progressive destruction of the immune system, ultimately leading to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). The primary methods for combating HIV infection remain the suppression and management of the infection through antiretroviral therapy (ART) [31].

ART involves the use of different classes of drugs that act on key stages of the viral life cycle, including cell entry, replication, integration into the genome, and the assembly of new viral particles [35]. Drug resistance mutations in HIV, resulting from its high genetic variability, remain a major challenge for antiretroviral therapy. These mutations can reduce the efficacy of drugs and contribute to multidrug resist-

ance, necessitating constant adaptation of treatment regimens and the search for new approaches [22, 37]. The use of combination therapy involving multiple drugs helps reduce the risk of resistance, but the life-long nature of therapy leaves a risk of disease recurrence even with full adherence by the patient [22].

Progression of the disease is influenced not only by viral characteristics, but also by individual human host features, primarily those that are genetically determined. HIV attachment to the cell occurs by binding to the CD4 receptor and chemokine co-receptors CCR5 and CXCR4. A well-known mutation in the *CCR5* gene, *CCR5-Δ32*, has a prevalence ranging from 7.8% to 25% in different Russian regions [5, 6]. This deletion provides partial, or complete, resistance to HIV infection by preventing viral entry into cells [23]. Based on this data, a group of drugs has been developed that target interactions between human and viral proteins, aimed at blocking co-receptors, which plays a key role in preventing viral entry into cells.

In contrast, no mutations analogous to delta 32 have been found in the *CXCR4* gene. However, it has been shown that several mutations in this gene are presumably linked to the development of certain diseases, such as WHIM syndrome (rs104893625, rs104893624) [17], while the effects of other mutations on the human body remain to be elucidated.

Although these proteins are reliably linked to HIV attachment to the cell, it should be noted that the virus with specific tropism attaches only to one of the two co-receptors, although dual-tropic variants of HIV also exist. During the progression of infection, a shift in the primary tropism of the virus occurs through a mechanism whereby HIV-1 variants using *CXCR4* suppress the replication of CCR5-dependent HIV-1 variants, whereas CCR5-dependent variants do not affect the replication of *CXCR4*-dependent HIV [18].

An additional co-receptor involved in viral attachment is CCR2 [14]. Genetic variations in the *CCR2* gene may influence the rate of HIV progression, making it an important target for study [29].

The described proteins are involved in inflammatory processes through chemotaxis and recruitment of other cells. *CXCR4* also plays a role in embryo attachment to the uterine wall. Given that these proteins are receptors involved in significant signaling cascades in the body, understanding their function is crucial. Given the vast number of human genes, experimental identification of key genes, and their polymorphic variants, is an extremely challenging task. In this regard, a preliminary search for candidate genes (CGs) using bioinformatic analysis is a necessary and effective approach, which allows narrowing down the potential targets for further study.

To date, a significant amount of information has been collected regarding the functioning of individual immune system components and their interaction with the virus. Integrating them into unified models, however, remains a complex challenge. Studying the links between genes encoding chemokine co-receptors associated with viral attachment to the cell and other human genes is important. The results of such studies will not only help to better understand the pathogenesis of HIV infection, but also facilitate further research into polymorphic variants of these genes that may either accelerate disease progression or, conversely, slow it down.

Modern bioinformatic methods significantly accelerate the analysis of large volumes of data and identification of key molecular mechanisms. Building and analyzing complex interaction networks between the virus and host cells can reveal new patterns, shift the focus to underexplored elements, and assess their contribution to the development and progression of HIV infection [19].

Thus, the identification of candidate genes interacting with chemokine co-receptors and associated with HIV progression could become an important

step towards creating new treatment and prevention strategies for the disease. The objective of the study was to assess the significance of candidate genes potentially involved in the pathogenesis of HIV infection during the phase of viral attachment to the cell, based on evaluation of gene expression, localization, and involvement in biological pathways and processes.

Materials and methods

Given the significance of the process of viral entry into the cell for the pathogenesis of HIV infection, the focus was on genes encoding proteins that are reliably associated with human infection at the stage of viral entry. In particular, the CD4 receptor in addition to chemokine co-receptors CCR5, *CXCR4*, and CCR2 (hereafter referred to as background genes, BG) were a focus since the proteins encoded by these genes play a crucial role in cell infection (specifically at the stage of viral attachment) and are involved in regulatory processes of the HIV life cycle [3, 36].

The HumanNetv3 web application was used to analyze genetic and protein-protein networks. Three types of networks were analyzed during the study: the physical protein interaction network (HumanNet-PI); the functional gene network (HumanNet-FN); and the functional network expanded by co-citation (HumanNet-XC). As of 2023, the physical interaction network included 17 849 genes and 633 460 connections [23].

To identify the biological context of the selected candidate genes (CG), the functional mapping and gene annotation web resource FUMA GWAS in GENE2FUNC mode (<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/gene2func>) was used. The FUMA GWAS application was used under the following conditions: Ensembl version 92, GTEx v8 expression dataset, 30 major tissue types, Benjamini–Hochberg correction method for gene set enrichment testing (FDR), maximum adjusted gene set association P-value < 0.05, and minimum number of overlapping genes with gene sets ≥ 2.

Additionally, statistical correlation analysis (using Pearson's criterion at p-value 0.05) was performed for gene representation relative to each other in protein localization and other genetic products of the studied genes, as well as their involvement in biological pathways.

For individual CGs that demonstrated a significant functional, spatial, or other type of association with BG (according to FUMA GWAS analysis), available scientific literature was reviewed to investigate and confirm their significance in the context of the detected associations.

As part of the research methodology, an analysis was conducted to identify CGs potentially related to HIV pathogenesis. At the initial stage,

the HumanNet resource was used for the preliminary search of CGs, followed by evaluation of the reliability of the identified relationships using AUROC analysis. The FUMA GWAS tool in GENE2FUNC mode was used to perform a comprehensive analysis of the identified CGs, which included an assessment of gene expression level and tissue specificity, product localization, and their involvement in various biological pathways and processes.

Scoring System

Expression. The expression profile of BG was evaluated in various bodily tissues. The inclusion criterion for a tissue in the analysis was a gene expression level \log_2 of no less than 2.51 (average expression level). Tissues with low BG expression levels were excluded from analysis. For CGs, the proportion of instances of co-expression of the genes with BG in selected tissues was evaluated.

The candidate gene score (CGS) was calculated using the following formula:

$$CGS(EXP) = f_{eCCR5} + f_{eCXCR4} + \frac{(f_{eCCR2} + f_{eCD4})}{2} + f_{eCCR5} \times f_{eCXCR4},$$

where f represents the frequency of matching CG expression profiles with BG. The formula accounts for the contribution of the relationship with each BG, as well as the combined contribution of CG association with the two main co-receptors for HIV-1 attachment. CCR5 and CXCR4 are the main co-receptors for attachment, so the relationship with them has greater weight than with CD4 and CCR2.

Localization, biological pathways, and biological processes. To assess the contribution of CGs to BG based on intersections in localization, participation in the same biological pathways, and biological processes (hereafter “characteristics”), based on FUMA GWAS data in GENE2FUNC mode, tables of localization/participation in biological pathways or processes were constructed for each analysis. In binary format (1 — present, 0 — absent), the participation/localization of CG and BG was indicated. Based on the resulting table, a correlation table was built using the four-point phi correlation method (analogous to the Pearson method for dichotomous variables), and correlations with BG were considered. The total score for each individual analysis was calculated using the following formula:

$$CGS(loc. or. proc. or. path) = k_{CCR5} + k_{CXCR4} + \frac{k_{CCR2} + k_{CD4}}{2} + k_{CCR5} \times k_{CXCR4},$$

where k represents the correlation level of the candidate gene with the background gene at p -value < 0.05 . At p -value > 0.05 , the correlation value was considered to be 0. The formula also accounts for the contribution of the relationship with each BG and the combined contribution of CG association with the two main co-receptors for HIV-1 attachment.

Final scoring system. Based on the results of each scoring stage, given that specific contributions (expression, localization, participation in biological pathways, participation in biological processes) were assessed equally, the final CG score was considered to be the sum of the points obtained from each scor-




Figure 1. Study design




Figure 2. AUROC prediction of identified HumanNet candidate genes, calculated relative to background genes (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CD4*, *CCR2*) with a false-positive rate cutoff of 1%

ing stage. The maximum score for each stage was 4, and the maximum total score was 16. To enhance the significance of CGs with common characteristics, additional points were assigned: for scores in 2 characteristics, CGs received 2 points; in 3 characteristics, 3 points; and in 4 characteristics, 4 points. The threshold value for the total score was set at 2, as this value corresponds to two established relationships with key BG co-receptors *CCR5* and *CXCR4*. Thus, CGs with this score, or higher, were evaluated as significant for the pathogenesis of HIV infection. The design of the analysis is presented in Fig. 1.

Results

Candidate genes. Based on the analysis of HumanNetv3, 659 genes potentially associated with the function of background proteins were identified. Using ROC analysis, false-positive results were reduced to 1% (Fig. 2).

Based on the final results, false-positive candidates were filtered out, and the selected genes were ranked according to evaluation of their association levels. The threshold value used to identify the most probable candidate genes, reflecting their proximity to other genes according to the neighbor relationship ranking rule, was set at 5.844. This resulted in a list of 100 genes, ranked by association scores ranging from 5.844 to 8.589, which potentially impact the course of HIV infection. The identified candidate genes, and their encoded proteins, can be classified into general functional groups as shown in Table 1.

Expression. For the identified candidate genes, a tissue-specific expression map was generated using the FUMA GWAS web resource. The map is shown in Fig. 3.

For assessment of expression levels, the following scale was used: Maximum (5.672), High (from 3.51 to 5.671), Medium (from 2.51 to 3.5), Low (from 1.51 to 2.5), and Minimal (from 0 to 1.5). For *CCR5*,

Table 1. The main functional groups of the GC

Functional group	Genes
Chemokines and their receptors	<i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CXCL2</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>CXCR3</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>ACKR3</i>
G-proteins and associated receptors, including serpentine receptors	<i>GNAI2</i> , <i>GNAI1</i> , <i>GNA13</i> , <i>S1PR5</i> , <i>S1PR3</i> , <i>S1PR2</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>HCAR2</i> , <i>HCAR3</i> , <i>HCAR1</i> , <i>OXGR1</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>OXER1</i>
Taste receptors	<i>TAS2R</i> (<i>TAS2R46</i>), <i>TAS2R43</i> , <i>TAS2R40</i> , <i>TAS2R30</i> , <i>TAS2R9</i> , <i>TAS2R3</i> , <i>TAS2R16</i> , <i>TAS2R4</i> , <i>TAS2R1</i> , <i>TAS2R39</i> , <i>TAS2R50</i> , <i>TAS2R20</i> , <i>TAS2R38</i> , <i>TAS2R13</i> , <i>TAS2R60</i> , <i>TAS2R8</i> , <i>TAS2R14</i> , <i>TAS2R10</i> , <i>TAS2R5</i> , <i>TAS2R31</i> , <i>TAS2R42</i> , <i>TAS2R7</i> , <i>TAS2R41</i> , <i>TAS2R19</i>
Neuropeptide and neurotransmitter receptors	<i>NPY5R</i> , <i>NPY1R</i> , <i>GALR2</i> , <i>GALR3</i> , <i>GALR1</i> , <i>HTR1F</i> , <i>HTR5A</i> , <i>HTR1D</i> , <i>HTR1E</i> , <i>HTR1A</i> , <i>HRH3</i> , <i>HRH4</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>CHRM2</i>
Adrenergic receptors	<i>ADRA2B</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>ADRA2C</i>
Purinergic receptors	<i>P2RY13</i> , <i>P2RY4</i> , <i>P2RY14</i>
Somatostatin receptors	<i>SSTR4</i> , <i>SSTR2</i> , <i>SSTR5</i> , <i>SSTR1</i> , <i>SSTR3</i>
Peptide receptors	<i>NPBWR1</i> , <i>NPBWR2</i> , <i>NPW</i> , <i>PPY</i> , <i>PYY</i> , <i>APLN</i> , <i>PENK</i> , <i>PDYN</i> , <i>PNOC</i> , <i>SST</i>
Receptors not included in the above groups	<i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>TMIGD3</i> , <i>RXFP3</i> , <i>RXFP4</i>
Functionally significant non-receptor type proteins	<i>ANXA1</i> , <i>HEBP1</i>

the average expression level in the spleen is 3.361. It is low in the lungs (2.479), small intestine (terminal ileum) (2.359), and whole blood (1.994). In other tissues, the expression level is minimal. For *CXCR4*, there is a broad representation of tissues with varying expression levels. The maximum expression level is observed in the spleen (5.672). A high level of expression is shown in 32 tissues, including whole blood (5.671), lungs (5.650), and the small intestine (5.375).

According to the heat map data, the highest expression levels for the background genes (BGs) were found in the following tissues and organs: lungs, terminal ileum, spleen, and whole blood. It is worth noting that for the *CCR5* gene, expression is found in fewer tissues at lower levels compared to *CXCR4* expression.

When performing scoring ranking for *CCR5* and *CCR2*, due to the small number of tissues with medium expression levels, four tissues with the highest expression were selected. For *CCR5*, the cutoff expression level was 1.994, corresponding to the expression level in whole blood. For *CCR2*, the cutoff was 2.394 (small intestine — terminal ileum).

Based on the evaluation of expression profiles and levels, the following candidate genes (CGs) were identified with the highest co-expression with background genes (BGs):

***CCR5*:** *ANXA1*, *CCR7*, *GNA13*, *GNAI2*, *HEBP1*, *OXER1*, *P2RY13* (all 100%);

***CXCR4*:** *GNA13* (100%), *GNAI2* (100%), *HEBP1* (98%), *ACKR3* (96%), *ANXA1* (93%), *GNAI1* (93%);




Figure 3. Tissue-specific expression map for background genes (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CD4*, *CCR2*) and candidate genes (CGs). *CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CD4*, and *CCR2* are highlighted with a red border

CCR2: *ANXA1*, *GNAI3*, *GNAI2*, *HEBP1*, *P2RY13* (all 100%);

CD4: *GNAI3* (100%), *GNAI2* (100%), *HEBP1* (100%), *ACKR3* (96%), *ANXA1* (96%), *GNAI1* (96%).

As a result of this analysis, the following candidate genes were identified with the highest biological gene co-expression scores: *GNAI3* (4.00), *GNAI2* (4.00), *HEBP1* (3.96), *ANXA1* (3.84), *ACKR3* (3.28), *GNAI1* (3.24), *CXCL12* (3.01), *CCL2* (2.88), *GPB1* (2.86), *CXCL2* (2.63), *ADRA2C* (2.54), *OXER1* (2.53), *SIPR3* (2.53), *SIPR2* (2.48), *CCL19* (2.48), and *ADRA2A* (2.43).

Localization of gene products. In addition to the expression localization of candidate genes, it is important to evaluate the localization of the products of these genes. The products of candidate genes may interact with chemokine coreceptors and initiate a cascade of reactions. On the other hand, gene expression products do not necessarily “reside” in the same cell where the expression occurs, and their influence can extend to areas where their products are localized. The cellular localization of candidate gene products is shown in Fig. 4.

For a significant number of candidate genes (*HTR1D*, *GNAI2*, *HTR1F*, *NPY1R*, *NPY5R*, *HTR1A*, *HTR1E*, etc.), multiple localization points of their products are shown.

For the products of the genes *CXCR4*, *CD4*, and *CCR5*, only localization in the cell membrane is shown, approaching the level of significance. To rank the significance of the candidate gene products, a correlation analysis between gene pairs was performed. Since the products of *CCR5*, *CXCR4*, and *CD4* do not have a shared localization with the products of other genes, the correlation assessments were conducted with respect to *CCR2*.

The products of the *CCR2* gene, in combination with the products of other genes, have a broad spectrum of cellular localization, but they are also localized in structures associated with neurons and dendritic cells. The majority of gene products from the candidate genes studied are localized in the following cells: neurons, somatodendritic, and dendritic cells.

The list of candidate genes for which correlations of gene product localization with *CCR2* were identified ($p < 0.05$) includes *HTR5A* (0.87), with high correlation. It also includes those with moderate correlation: *HTR1D* (0.55), *HTR1F* (0.55), *HTR1A* (0.55), *HTR1E* (0.55), *HRH4* (0.55), and *OPRK1* (0.54). The candidate genes with their ranking scores for this stage were: *HTR5A* (0.44), *HTR1D* (0.28), *HTR1F* (0.28), *HTR1A* (0.28), *HTR1E* (0.28), *HRH4* (0.28), and *OPRK1* (0.27).




Figure 4. Localization of gene products. Candidate genes (CGs) are presented along the horizontal axis, while cell types and/or their structures are on the vertical axis. Background genes (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CD4*, *CCR2*) are marked with a red border




Figure 5. Biological pathway annotation for BG, CG, their proteins, and metabolites ($p < 0.05$). CG are represented on the horizontal axis; biological pathways are on the vertical axis. BG (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CD4*, *CCR2*) are marked. Analysis obtained in GENE2FUNC mode using WikiPathways data

Table 2. Participation of BG and CG in biological processes according to GENE2FUNC. Results are ranked by level of evidential significance. The items include processes with the presence of chemokine receptor genes (*CCR5*, *CXCR4*, *CCR2*, *CD4*) or processes related to viral activity

Rank	Participation	Genes	P-value
1	G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling pathway	<i>HTR1D</i> , <i>RXFP4</i> , <i>OXR1</i> , <i>ADRA2B</i> , <i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>HTR1F</i> , <i>P2RY14</i> , <i>P2RY13</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>SST</i> , <i>ADRA2C</i> , <i>NPY1R</i> , <i>NPY5R</i> , <i>TAS2R1</i> , <i>RXFP3</i> , <i>HTR1A</i> , <i>HTR1E</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>NPY</i> , <i>GNAI1</i> , <i>TAS2R16</i> , <i>CHRM2</i> , <i>TAS2R3</i> , <i>TAS2R4</i> , <i>TAS2R5</i> , <i>TAS2R38</i> , <i>TAS2R39</i> , <i>TAS2R40</i> , <i>TAS2R60</i> , <i>TAS2R41</i> , <i>HTR5A</i> , <i>PNOC</i> , <i>NPBWR1</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>PENK</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>S1PR3</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>PTGDR2</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>TAS2R7</i> , <i>TAS2R8</i> , <i>TAS2R9</i> , <i>TAS2R10</i> , <i>TAS2R13</i> , <i>TAS2R14</i> , <i>TAS2R50</i> , <i>TAS2R20</i> , <i>TAS2R19</i> , <i>TAS2R31</i> , <i>TAS2R46</i> , <i>TAS2R43</i> , <i>TAS2R30</i> , <i>TAS2R42</i> , <i>HCAR1</i> , <i>HCAR2</i> , <i>HCAR3</i> , <i>OXGR1</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>SSTR1</i> , <i>SSTR5</i> , <i>NPW</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>PPY</i> , <i>PYY</i> , <i>GNAI3</i> , <i>SSTR2</i> , <i>GALR2</i> , <i>HRH4</i> , <i>GALR1</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>S1PR2</i> , <i>S1PR5</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>PDYN</i> , <i>SSTR4</i> , <i>HRH3</i> , <i>NPBWR2</i> , <i>SSTR3</i> , <i>GALR3</i> , <i>P2RY4</i> , <i>CXCR3</i> , <i>APLN</i>	4.77e-123
2	Chemokine signaling pathway	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	1.03e-30
3	Leukocyte chemotaxis	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCL25</i>	6.44e-18
4	Cellular chemotaxis	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCL25</i>	2.98e-17
5	Taxis	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>PTGDR2</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	4.47e-17
6	Cytokine-mediated signaling pathway	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>CD4</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	6.01e-17
7	Locomotion	<i>HTR1D</i> , <i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>SST</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>PTGDR2</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>GNAI3</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>S1PR2</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	1.46e-16
8	Leukocyte migration	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	2.43e-15
9	Inflammatory response	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>NPY5R</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>NPY</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>S1PR3</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>HRH4</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	4.66e-15
10	Mononuclear cell migration	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCL25</i>	4.70e-15
11	Immune response	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>NPY5R</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>NPY</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>PTGDR2</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>CD4</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>FPR3</i> , <i>CXCR3</i> , <i>APLN</i>	3.15e-14
12	Response to organic cyclic compounds	<i>HTR1D</i> , <i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>HTR1F</i> , <i>P2RY13</i> , <i>SST</i> , <i>HTR1A</i> , <i>HTR1E</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>GNAI1</i> , <i>CHRM2</i> , <i>HTR5A</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>PENK</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>SSTR1</i> , <i>SSTR5</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>SSTR2</i> , <i>HRH4</i> , <i>SSTR4</i> , <i>HRH3</i> , <i>SSTR3</i> , <i>P2RY4</i>	3.87e-14
13	Response to cytokines	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR9</i> , <i>CXCR6</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>CD4</i> , <i>SSTR1</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>CCR10</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	4.38e-14
14	Response to oxygen-containing compounds	<i>HTR1D</i> , <i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>HTR1F</i> , <i>SUCNR1</i> , <i>SST</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>HTR1A</i> , <i>HTR1E</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>GNAI1</i> , <i>CHRM2</i> , <i>HTR5A</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>PENK</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>SSTR1</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>SSTR2</i> , <i>HRH4</i> , <i>HRH3</i> , <i>SSTR3</i> , <i>P2RY4</i>	3.46e-12
15	Cell motility	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCL20</i> , <i>ACKR3</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>SST</i> , <i>CXCL3</i> , <i>CXCL13</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>CCL27</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>ANXA1</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>CXCR5</i> , <i>GPR18</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL7</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCL16</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>GNAI3</i> , <i>CCL25</i> , <i>S1PR2</i> , <i>SSTR4</i> , <i>CXCR3</i>	3.35e-10
16	Chemotaxis of dendritic cells	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCR7</i>	1.07e-9
17	Migration of dendritic cells	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCR7</i>	5.05e-9
18	Calcium ion transport	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>CD4</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCR7</i>	3.69e-7
19	Transport of monatomic ions	<i>CXCR4</i> , <i>CCR2</i> , <i>CCR5</i> , <i>GNAI2</i> , <i>HTR1A</i> , <i>GPER1</i> , <i>OPRK1</i> , <i>CCL19</i> , <i>CXCL12</i> , <i>ADRA2A</i> , <i>CD4</i> , <i>CCL2</i> , <i>CCL8</i> , <i>CCL5</i> , <i>CCR7</i> , <i>GALR2</i> , <i>HRH3</i> , <i>P2RY4</i>	2.73e-6

Rank	Participation	Genes	P-value
20	Biological processes related to interspecies interaction between organisms	<u>CXCR4</u> , CCL20, <u>CCR5</u> , CXCL3, CXCL13, GPER1, CHRM2, OPRK1, PENK, CCL19, ANXA1, CXCL12, <u>CD4</u> , CCL2, CCL7, CCL8, CCL5, CCL16, CCR7, CCL25	8.26e-6
21	Homeostatic processes	<u>CXCR4</u> , <u>CCR2</u> , <u>CCR5</u> , GNAI2, SUCNR1, GPER1, NPY, OPRK1, CCL19, ANXA1, CXCL12, ADRA2A, HCAR2, SSTR5, CCL2, CCL7, CCL8, CCL5, CCR7, P2RY4	1.54e-5
22	Transport of monovalent cations	<u>CXCR4</u> , <u>CCR5</u> , GNAI2, GPER1, OPRK1, CCL19, CXCL12, ADRA2A, <u>CD4</u> , CCL2, CCL8, CCL5, CCR7, GALR2	1.00e-4
23	Response to virus	<u>CXCR4</u> , CHRM2, OPRK1, PENK, CCL19, CXCL12, CCL8, CCL5	2.41e-4
24	Viral life cycle	<u>CXCR4</u> , CXCR6, <u>CCR5</u> , <u>CD4</u> , CCL2, CCL8, CCL5	3.51e-4
25	Calcium ion-mediated signaling	<u>CXCR4</u> , CCL20, <u>CCR5</u> , PTGDR2, <u>CD4</u>	1.05e-3
26	Signaling mediated by second messengers	<u>CXCR4</u> , CCL20, <u>CCR5</u> , GNAI1, PTGDR2, <u>CD4</u>	1.34e-3
27	Viral processes	<u>CXCR4</u> , CXCR6, <u>CCR5</u> , <u>CD4</u> , CCL2, CCL8, CCL5	1.85e-3

Biological pathways. A biological pathway analysis was conducted in which the functional groups, candidate genes, and their products are involved. The analysis is shown in Fig. 5.

The main functional groups of genes, their proteins, and metabolites are associated with G-proteins, peptide signaling pathways of G-proteins, chemokine signaling pathways that influence inflammatory processes, as well as those related to monoamine receptors. The three biological pathways with the highest p-values were: **WP_GPCRS_CLASS_A_RHODOPSLIKE** (rhodopsin-like receptors, G-protein coupled class A) with the highest p-value; **WP_PEPTIDE_GPCRS** (peptide receptors, G-protein coupled); and **WP_CHEMOKINE_SIGNALING_PATHWAY** (chemokine signaling pathways). The presence of CCR2, CXCR4, and, partially, CCR5 in these pathways indicates their important role in these biological processes.

Based on the assessment of the participation of candidate genes and their products, in combination with genes/products of BG in biological pathways, the following candidate genes were identified for each BG with the highest level of correlation ($p < 0.05$):

CCR5: CXCR3 (0.77), NPY5R (0.52), OPRK1 (0.52), GPR18 (0.52), SSTR5 (0.52), GALR2 (0.52), GALR1 (0.52), FPR3 (0.52), SSTR4 (0.52), SSTR3 (0.52), GALR3 (0.52), SSTR2 (0.51).

CXCR4: CCR7 (0.89), CXCR3 (0.77), CCR10 (0.65), CCR9 (0.65), CXCR5 (0.65), FPR3 (0.52), GALR1 (0.52), GALR2 (0.52), GALR3 (0.52), NPY5R (0.52), OPRK1 (0.52), SSTR3 (0.52), SSTR4 (0.52), SSTR5 (0.52), CXCR6 (0.52).

CCR2: CXCR3 (0.68), CCR7 (0.57), CCR10 (0.59), CCR9 (0.59), FPR3 (0.47), GALR1 (0.47), GALR2 (0.47), GALR3 (0.47), NPY5R (0.47), OPRK1 (0.47), SSTR3 (0.47), SSTR4 (0.47), SSTR5 (0.47), CXCR6 (0.47).

No significant correlations were found for CD4. The scoring summary for the participation of CG

in biological pathways in combination with BG was: CXCR3 (2.49), CCR7 (1.17), CCR10 (0.95), CCR9 (1.54), CXCR5 (0.65), FPR3 (1.54), GALR1 (1.54), GALR2 (1.54), GALR3 (1.54), NPY5R (1.54), OPRK1 (1.54), SSTR3 (1.54), SSTR4 (1.54), SSTR5 (1.54), CXCR6 (0.75), GPR18 (0.52), and SSTR2 (0.51).

Biological processes. In functional mapping of the analyzed genes, their involvement in 343 biological processes was determined. Those shown only include processes in which functional groups and/or their products participate (Table 2).

Evaluation of the participation of candidate genes and their products in biological processes. Based on assessment of the participation of candidate genes (CG) and their products in combination with genes/products of BG in biological processes, the following CG were identified for each BG with the highest level of correlation ($p < 0.05$):

CCR5: CXCL3 (0.57), CCL8 (0.48), CXCR6 (0.40), CXCR5 (0.37), CCL7 (0.36), CCL5 (0.35), CCL27 (0.35), CCR7 (0.35), CXCR3 (0.34), CCR9 (0.32), CCR10 (0.32), CCL2 (0.31).

CXCR4: CXCR3 (0.43), CXCL12 (0.41), SUCNR1 (0.34), CXCR6 (0.32), ACKR3 (0.31).

CCR2: CCL5 (0.38), CXCL12 (0.38), CCL2 (0.37), CXCL13 (0.35), ANXA1 (0.34), CXCR3 (0.32), CCL7 (0.31), CCL19 (0.31).

CD4: CCL2 (0.44), CCR7 (0.38), CCL19 (0.38), CCL5 (0.36), CCL25 (0.30).

The scoring summary for CG “Participation in Biological Processes in Combination with Functional Groups and Scores Above 0.5” was: CXCR3 (1.14), CCL2 (1.074), CCL8 (1.047), CXCR6 (1.03), CXCL3 (1.02), CCL5 (0.97), CCR7 (0.962), CXCR5 (0.93), CCL19 (0.92), CXCL12 (0.91), CCR9 (0.89), CCL7 (0.84), CCR10 (0.82), CXCL13 (0.81), CCL20 (0.76), ACKR3 (0.76), CCL27 (0.74), SUCNR1 (0.74), CCL16 (0.68), FPR3 (0.63), CCL25 (0.62), ANXA1 (0.58), PENK (0.54), GPER1 (0.53), and GPR18 (0.51).

Table 3. Candidate gene ranking by subcategory and total score (threshold = 2). Genes are listed in descending order of candidate significance rank

Gene	Expression	Localization	Pathways	Processes	Additional Points	Total
<i>CXCR3</i>	1.14	0	2.49	1.14	3	7.77
<i>CCR7</i>	1.64	0	1.17	0.96	3	6.77
<i>FPR3</i>	1.58	0	1.54	0.63	3	6.74
<i>ANXA1</i>	3.84	0	0	0.58	2	6.42
<i>GNAI2</i>	4.00	0	0	0.26	2	6.26
<i>ACKR3</i>	3.28	0	0	0.76	2	6.04
<i>CCL2</i>	2.88	0	0	1.07	2	5.95
<i>CXCL12</i>	3.01	0	0	0.91	2	5.93
<i>CXCR6</i>	1.05	0	0.75	1.03	3	5.83
<i>CCR9</i>	0.41	0	1.54	0.85	3	5.80
<i>GNAI1</i>	3.24	0	0	0.35	2	5.58
<i>GP1B</i>	2.86	0	0	0.53	2	5.39
<i>CCL19</i>	2.48	0	0	0.91	2	5.39
<i>CXCR5</i>	0.79	0	0.65	0.93	3	5.37
<i>OPRK1</i>	0	0.27	1.54	0.47	3	5.28
<i>NPY5R</i>	0.41	0	1.54	0.11	3	5.07
<i>GPR18</i>	0.96	0	0.52	0.51	3	4.99
<i>CCR10</i>	0.22	0	0.94	0.82	3	4.99
<i>GALR2</i>	0.03	0	1.54	0.23	3	4.81
<i>ADRA2A</i>	2.43	0	0	0.11	2	4.53
<i>GNA13</i>	4.00	0	0	0	0	4.00
<i>HEBP1</i>	3.96	0	0	0	0	3.96
<i>HCR3</i>	1.43	0	0	0.27	2	3.70
<i>CXCL13</i>	0.88	0	0	0.81	2	3.69
<i>HTR5A</i>	0.07	0.44	0	0.18	3	3.68
<i>GALR3</i>	0	0	1.54	0.07	2	3.61
<i>CCL20</i>	0.84	0	0	0.76	2	3.60
<i>HTR1D</i>	0.28	0.28	0	0.04	3	3.59
<i>CXCL3</i>	0.53	0	0	1.02	2	3.55
<i>CCL8</i>	0.50	0	0	1.05	2	3.55
<i>SSTR3</i>	0	0	1.54	0.01	2	3.55
<i>NPY1R</i>	1.32	0	0	0.14	2	3.46
<i>TAS2R14</i>	1.15	0	0	0.14	2	3.29
<i>TAS2R5</i>	1.15	0	0	0.13	2	3.28
<i>SUCNR1</i>	0.49	0	0	0.74	2	3.23
<i>SST</i>	0.83	0	0	0.40	2	3.22
<i>CCL25</i>	0.44	0	0	0.62	2	3.06
<i>SSTR1</i>	0.87	0	0	0.17	2	3.04
<i>NPY</i>	0.79	0	0	0.16	2	2.95
<i>PTGDR2</i>	0.49	0	0	0.42	2	2.91
<i>PNOC</i>	0.67	0	0	0.18	2	2.85
<i>CCL27</i>	0.09	0	0	0.74	2	2.83
<i>PENK</i>	0.19	0	0	0.54	2	2.73
<i>CXCL2</i>	2.63	0	0	0	0	2.63
<i>HRH4</i>	0	0.28	0	0.28	2	2.56
<i>ADRA2C</i>	2.54	0	0	0	0	2.54
<i>OXER1</i>	2.53	0	0	0	0	2.53
<i>S1PR3</i>	2.53	0	0	0	0	2.53
<i>HTR1F</i>	0	0.28	0	0.23	2	2.50
<i>S1PR2</i>	2.48	0	0	0	0	2.48
<i>HTR1E</i>	0	0.28	0	0.11	2	2.39
<i>PDYN</i>	0.21	0	0	0.18	2	2.39
<i>OXGR1</i>	0.01	0	0	0.27	2	2.28
<i>CHRM2</i>	0.17	0	0	0.06	2	2.22
<i>TAS2R20</i>	0.07	0	0	0.14	2	2.21

Final ranking. Table 3 presents the final ranking results for identified genes with an overall score of 2 or higher.

The number of candidate genes (CG) with a score above the threshold was 55. It is worth noting separately all CGs that received more than 1 point. Although these genes do not overlap in the results of intermediate ranking stages, they may interact with several functional genes (BG) or their products on one studied parameter. In this case, they have a similar expression profile with several BGs and may be included as possible candidates for further consideration. The list of CGs with the specified threshold is: *HCAR2* (1.68), *P2RY13* (1.67), *SIPR5* (1.63), *SSTR5* (1.56), *GALR1* (1.54), *SSTR4* (1.49), and *P2RY14* (1.19).

Discussion

Viral attachment to a cell via chemokine receptors and co-receptors initiates a cascade of responses. Individual elements of this cascade can influence the course of the infectious process and/or the viral life cycle [36]. Assuming that potential CGs may directly or indirectly affect viral processes by binding to chemokine receptors/co-receptors, they and/or their products must be in close proximity to interact with the receptors and have a sufficient product concentration for such interaction, indirectly reflected in gene expression levels. These characteristics are often exhibited by participants in the same biological process and/or biological pathway. However, this does not exclude the possibility of multifunctionality of individual genes, providing more opportunities for interaction between the products of the hypothetical CG and BG products.

According to HumanNetv3, a number of CGs potentially related to chemokine co-receptors and, accordingly, to the human immunodeficiency virus, were identified. When considering the detected genes from the perspective of human molecular-biological elements associated with BGs, the belonging of these genes to the following main functional groups was shown: chemokines and their receptors, G-proteins and their associated receptors, including serpentine receptors, as well as other receptors such as taste TAS2R, neuropeptide and neurotransmitter, adrenergic, purinergic, somatostatin, peptide, and other functionally significant proteins. The identified candidates are widely expressed in various tissues, although for all BGs, an increased level of expression was observed in four main tissues/organs (lungs, terminal ileum, spleen, whole blood), where immune processes are actively occurring. The lungs contain specialized immune cells such as macrophages that ingest and destroy pathogens inhaled with air. These cells are found in the alveoli and on the surface of the airways, providing the first line of defense against infections.

In the lungs, the maturation and activity of various types of leukocytes, such as lymphocytes and neutrophils, also occur, playing a key role in fighting infections and inflammation [15].

In the terminal ileum, Peyer's patches, which are essential components of the intestinal immune system, are located. These patches contain lymphoid cells that help protect the body from pathogens ingested with food. Specialized immune cells lining the ileum protect the body from bacterial infections and maintain the mucosal barrier function [44]. The spleen, specifically the white pulp, is responsible for the production and maturation of leukocytes (lymphocytes), which produce antibodies to fight infections. The white pulp plays a key role in the adaptive immune response [40]. The involvement of blood in the body's immunity as a transport system is unquestionable. Thus, all four of these tissues are crucial sites for immune system activity. Genes with high expression levels in these tissues (*CCR7*, *GNAI3*, *GNAI2*, *HEBP1*, *OXER1*, *P2RY13*, *ANXA1*), along with background proteins, participate in immune processes, supporting the homeostasis of the immune system.

The search for intersections in the localization of gene products did not yield significant results due to the narrow localization spectrum of the products of the main BGs, which are localized in the cell membrane. The CCR2 protein, due to its expression in a large number of cells in the nervous system, has more opportunities for interaction with other host factors. Localization of genetic products in neuronal and dendritic cells is strongly associated with the progression of HIV infection, leading to neurological manifestations. Against the background of tropism switching, the virus can infect a larger number of tissues and cell groups, such as macrophages. This, in turn, leads to the infection of macrophages in the brain, which is associated with the development of neurocognitive disorders mediated by HIV.

A different pattern was observed when evaluating the participation of BGs in biological pathways and processes, which is not surprising, as receptors are an active part of signaling pathways via G-proteins and/or chemokines, as well as chemotaxis processes in response to influencing factors. The multifunctionality and the potential of individual genes and their products to influence the activity of other genes, including BGs, allow these genes to be considered as possible candidates, provided their established connection, intersection by characteristics (localization, sufficient concentration, etc.), and functional roles with BGs.

It should be noted that biological pathways refer to a series of individual reactions rather than direct interactions between proteins or their localization in one place, although such events may also occur. Primarily, joint participation in pathways indicates

a possible indirect nature of interaction. The analysis of biological pathways revealed the following. *CXCR4* and *CCR5* have strong positive correlations with *CXCR3*, *NPY5R*, and *SSTR4*, indicating their joint involvement in the same pathways. The product of the *NPY5R* gene is a neuropeptide receptor, while *SSTR4* is one of the five known somatostatin receptors and is involved in both immune [42] and neuroendocrine processes [33]. In turn, *SUCNR1* (Succinate Receptor 1), also known as *GPR91*, which has a moderate correlation with chemokine co-receptors, is a receptor activated by succinate (a metabolite of the tricarboxylic acid cycle). The *SUCNR1* protein is involved in regulating immune reactions, including macrophage polarization and inflammatory diseases such as ulcerative colitis and endometriosis [21].

Thus, without considering the likely physical interaction of CGs and their products with BGs, the probability of the association of CGs and BGs with their products was evaluated by combining four characteristics: expression, cellular localization, pathways, and biological processes. The necessary condition for such interaction, including the required sufficient concentration, and the similarity of functional roles were also considered.

Based on the combined data of the aforementioned characteristics, a scoring system was developed, which allows the identification of CGs with the hypothesized highest probability of interaction with BGs. This is important because of the known connection between BGs and HIV, suggesting that their involvement may influence the pathogenesis of the infection. For several genes, it was considered that in the case of altered homeostatic conditions, for example, during an infectious process, there would be an increase in the expression levels of certain genes in tissues where this does not normally occur.

Most of the CGs identified are chemokines of the C-C or C-X-C family, or their receptors. Since the discovery of the contribution of the chemokine co-receptors *CCR5* and *CXCR4* in the process of HIV attachment to cells, researchers have focused not only on related chemokines, specifically their suppressive action against the virus through receptor competition, but also on the receptors themselves, as alternative entry points for the virus. Among the CGs ranked in this group, the following stand out as having the highest total scores.

CCR7 receptor. This receptor helps mobilize dendritic cells and their interaction with various subsets of T cells, including naive, regulatory, and memory T cells [7]. It has also been shown to play an important role in the immune system, preventing autoimmune diseases, and is involved in immune surveillance and anti-tumor immunity [4]. Thus, the high expression of *CCR7* in the lungs, intestines, spleen, and blood may be related to its function in attracting and activating immune cells in these tissues, which is essential for effective protection against pathogens [46].

ACKR3 receptor, also known as **CXCR7**, is an important protein involved in various physiological and pathological processes and primarily functions as a scavenger receptor. The main roles of this chemokine that may be linked to the progression of HIV infection include chemokine scavenging and immune system regulation [35]. This protein also influences the distribution and function of immune cells, helps localize lymphocytes in lymphoid tissues, and modulates immune responses. There may be a lesser need for chemokine regulation through *ACKR3* in circulating blood, as its primary function is to control local inflammatory processes in tissues, with an increase in expression levels likely during inflammation [25].

CXCR6 receptor. This receptor plays a role in chemotaxis by attracting lymphocytes to sites of tissue damage, helping the body respond quickly to infection by directing immune cells to inflammation sites. *CXCR6* is also expressed on T cells, particularly natural killer cells and cytotoxic T lymphocytes, playing an important role in their migration and localization [28].

An exception in terms of low expression levels in the CXC family group is **CXCR3**. The tissue with low expression is the lungs. The functions of this gene and its products are related to the migration and activation of T cells in other tissues, such as lymph nodes and sites of inflammation. Several studies show an increase in the expression of this receptor in the lungs during inflammatory processes [43]. It has been shown that *CXCR3* is important for the migration of antigen-specific effector $CD4^+$ T cells to both the respiratory tract and lung parenchyma during the acute phase of respiratory viral infection [26].

Chemokines **CCL2**, **CXCL2**, **CXCL12**, **CCL19**, **CXCL13**, **CCL8**, **CCL25**, **CCL27**, **CCL20**, and **CXCL3** primarily function in chemotaxis, typically directing immune cells to sites of inflammation [41]. As such, they are involved in regulating immune responses and inflammatory processes [13]. Some of these chemokines are expressed in various tissues, including bone marrow, thymus, spleen, and lymph nodes [9], and play a role in maintaining the homeostasis of specific systems in the human body, such as the bone marrow [10].

The second major functional group among the ranked CGs are genes associated with G-proteins, which play a crucial role in cellular signaling and may influence viral entry and spread [20]. The G-protein subunits **GNAI1** and **GNAI2** are involved in transmitting signals from various receptors into intracellular effects. Proteins **GNA13** and **GPR18** are less studied compared to their predecessors but have been shown to participate in signal transmission via G-proteins, regulating various cellular functions, including migration and cellular survival. High expression levels of these genes may be linked to their role in multiple signaling pathways that regulate immune system functions, including chemotaxis [11, 32].

The protein **GP1B**, also known as **CD330**, plays a significant role in various physiological and pathological processes, primarily performing protective functions such as anti-tumor and anti-inflammatory effects [34]. It is difficult to pinpoint the exact reasons for its low expression in whole blood, as most studies focus on its specific influences on particular processes.

Two other genes with low expression in whole blood are **S1PR5** and **S1PR3**. Among the five known GPCRs with high affinity for S1P (sphingosine-1-phosphate, a signaling lipid), types 1, 2, and 3 are predominantly expressed in cardiovascular tissues. **S1PR2** and **S1PR3** are involved in regulating cell migration and vascular tone, which explains their low expression in blood, although expression levels increase during inflammation [38].

Additionally, the protein **S1PR5** is present in the analyzed group, but with low expression in the small intestine. Unlike **S1PR2** and **S1PR3**, **S1PR5** is characteristic of the immune and nervous systems [8]. It is expressed in whole blood, but its low expression in the small intestine may be explained by its more significant functions in the central nervous system and other tissues.

Among the group associated with G-proteins, special attention should be given to the receptors involved in two signaling pathways (OXER1, HCAR2/3). The **OXER1** protein is a receptor for oxo-eicosanoids, which are involved in inflammatory and immune responses. High expression in tissues may be related to their role in regulating these processes. For example, in the lungs and intestines, **OXER1** helps protect against infections and inflammation, while in the spleen and blood, it plays a role in immune cell mobilization and function [12].

The **HCAR2** and **HCAR3** proteins are associated with inflammatory conditions, such as Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, where they participate in recruiting innate immune cells and differentiating Th-17 cells, respectively [39]. They exhibit low expression in the small intestine. However, their functions may be more significant in other tissues, such as the skin and brain, where they regulate inflammatory processes and energy metabolism.

The third group includes chemokines that do not share a common functional role or belong to the same protein family. The protein annexin A1 (**ANXA1**) plays an important role in regulating inflammation and immune responses. High expression of **ANXA1** in various tissues may be associated with its function in suppressing inflammatory processes and tissue repair. This is especially important in the lungs and intestines, which are frequently exposed to external agents [2]. **ANXA1** ranks fourth in terms of significance due to its high expression profile matching the background genes, as well as its involvement in similar biological processes. However, its mechanism of interaction with

background chemokine receptors requires clarification to understand its potential impact on HIV attachment and/or the development of infectious processes.

The protein **HEBP1**, in this case, is an exception to the other genes presented. It is involved in heme metabolism and may play a role in regulating cell growth and survival. High expression of **HEBP1** in these tissues may be associated with the need to regulate heme levels and prevent its toxic effects, especially in tissues with high metabolic activity and frequent inflammatory processes [45].

The receptor **FPR3** is expressed in monocytes and dendritic cells, but not in neutrophils. It is located in intracellular vesicles rather than on the cell surface, unlike other FPR group receptors [24]. This group of receptors is involved in chemotaxis and immune cell activation, but FPR3 is less studied compared to others, making it difficult to explain the reason for its expression level in the blood.

The receptors **ADRA2A** and **ADRA2C** regulate vascular tone and the sympathetic nervous system [1], which is less significant for circulating blood cells compared to other background tissues [27].

Receptors **TAS2R5**, **TAS2R14**, **TAS2R46**, **TAS2R4**, and **TAS2R20** are involved in taste perception and possibly in modulating inflammatory responses [30]. The functional roles of this group of receptors, aside from their role in sensory taste perception, are poorly studied, and it is currently difficult to explain the expression levels of these genes.

It is noteworthy that for most of the chemokine genes presented, low expression levels were found in tissues where their expression increases during an immune response to inflammation or infection. In the context of HIV infection, where immune response activation is observed, the interaction between these genes becomes more likely, and their potential interactions with each other may influence the infectious process. However, if interaction occurs only during the immune response, established interactions between the background genes (BGs) and CGs may be absent, or if they do occur, they may be random.

In conclusion, analysis of the expression and localization of CGs, as well as their potential participation in various immune processes and biological pathways, identified promising CGs that may play a role in the pathogenesis of HIV infection. A limitation of our study is the indirect nature of the link between the identified CGs and the course of HIV infection. The results of this study confirm the need for further investigation into the functional roles and interactions of CGs in the pathogenesis of HIV infection. This will deepen the understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the disease and lead to the development of new therapeutic strategies.

Conclusion

In the course of the study, candidate genes potentially associated with chemokine coreceptors and, consequently, with viral attachment to the cell, were analyzed. These genes may play a key role in regulating immune responses and maintaining homeostasis in the body, especially in important organs such as the lungs, intestines, spleen, and blood. Some of the identified genes are involved in the regulation of inflammatory processes, immune cell migration, and the maintenance of barrier functions in various tissues. The potential link between genes associated with neurotransmitters and neuropeptides and the pathogenesis of HIV infection deserves special attention.

The detected correlations suggest the probable involvement of many of the identified candidate genes in complex signaling pathways that regulate immune responses and inflammation. The presence of positive correlations between chemokine receptors and other proteins may indicate the complex interaction of immune and neuronal processes in the context of HIV infection. The results of the analysis emphasize the importance of further studying the genetic and molecular mechanisms influencing the course of HIV infection.

Additional information

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Aantaa R., Marjamäki A., Scheinin M. Molecular pharmacology of alpha 2-adrenoceptor subtypes. *Ann. Med.*, 1995, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 439–449. doi: 10.3109/07853899709002452
2. Al-Ali H.N., Crichton S.J., Fabian C., Pepper C., Butcher D.R., Dempsey F.C., Parris C.N. A therapeutic antibody targeting annexin-A1 inhibits cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo. *Oncogene*, 2024, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 608–614. doi: 10.1038/s41388-023-02919-9
3. Alkhatib G., Berger E.A. HIV coreceptors: from discovery and designation to new paradigms and promise. *Eur. J. Med. Res.*, 2007, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 375–384.
4. Alrumaihi F. The multi-functional roles of CCR7 in human immunology and as a promising therapeutic target for cancer therapeutics. *Front. Mol. Biosci.*, 2022, vol. 9: 834149. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.834149
5. Apryatina S.A., Rakhmanaliev E.R., Nikolaeva I.A., Ruban S.V., Vazykhova F.G., Klimov E.A., Sulimova G.E., Sidorovich I.G. Comparison of CCR5 Δ 32 mutation in the CCR5 gene frequencies in russians, tuvinians, and in groups of HIV-infected individuals. *Russian Journal of Genetics*, 2005, vol. 41, pp. 1287–1290. doi: 10.1007/s11177-005-0230-6
6. Aseev M.V., Shawi A., Baranov V.S., Dean M. Population frequencies of the CKR5 mutant allele of the chemokine receptor gene responsible for HIV infection. *Russian Journal of Genetics*, 1997, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 1475–1477.
7. Brandum E.P., Jørgensen A.S., Rosenkilde M.M., Hjortø G.M. Dendritic cells and CCR7 expression: an important factor for autoimmune diseases, chronic inflammation, and cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, vol. 22, no. 8340. doi: 10.3390/ijms22158340
8. Cannavo A., Liccardo D., Komici K., Corbi G., de Lucia C., Femminella G.D., Elia A., Bencivenga L., Ferrara N., Koch W.J., Paolocci N., Rengo G. Sphingosine kinases and sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors: signaling and actions in the cardiovascular system. *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2017, vol. 8: 556. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00556
9. Clark-Lewis I., Kim K.S., Rajarathnam K., Gong J.H., Dewald B., Moser B., Baggiolini M., Sykes B.D. Structure-activity relationships of chemokines. *J. Leukoc. Biol.*, 1995, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 703–711. doi: 10.1002/jlb.57.5.703
10. Collins P.J., McCully M.L., Martínez-Muñoz L., Santiago C., Wheelton J., Caucheteux S., Thelen S., Cecchinato V., Laufer J.M., Purvanov V., Monneau Y.R., Lortat-Jacob H., Legler D.F., Uguccioni M., Thelen M., Piguet V., Mellado M., Moser B. Epithelial chemokine CXCL14 synergizes with CXCL12 via allosteric modulation of CXCR4. *FASEB J.*, 2017, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 3084–3097. doi: 10.1096/fj.201700013R
11. Console-Bram L., Brailoiu E., Brailoiu G.C., Sharir H., Abood M.E. GPR18 and intracellular calcium, MAPK, β -arrestin. *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, 2014, vol. 171, pp. 3908–3917. doi: 10.1111/bph.12746
12. Dattilo M., Neuman I., Muñoz M., Maloberti P., Cornejo Maciel F. OxeR1 regulates angiotensin II and cAMP-stimulated steroid production in human H295R adrenocortical cells. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.*, 2015, vol. 408, pp. 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2015.01.040
13. Feniger-Barish R., Belkin D., Zaslaver A., Gal S., Dori M., Ran M., Ben-Baruch A. GCP-2-induced internalization of IL-8 receptors: hierarchical relationships between GCP-2 and other ELR(+)-CXC chemokines and mechanisms regulating CXCR2 internalization and recycling. *Blood*, 2000, vol. 95, no. 5, pp. 1551–1559. doi: 10.1182/blood.V95.5.1551.005a36_1551_1559
14. Frade J.M., Llorente M., Mellado M., Alcamí J., Gutiérrez-Ramos J.C., Zaballos A., Real G., Martínez-A C. The amino-terminal domain of the CCR2 chemokine receptor acts as coreceptor for HIV-1 infection. *J. Clin. Invest.*, 1997, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 497–502. doi: 10.1172/JCI119558
15. Gordon S.B., Read R.C. Macrophage defences against respiratory tract infections. *Br. Med. Bull.*, 2002, vol. 61, pp. 45–61. doi: 10.1093/bmb/61.1.45
16. Global HIV & AIDS statistics — Fact sheet / UNAIDS 2023 epidemiological estimates. URL: <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet> (08.05.2024)
17. Hernandez P.A., Gorlin R.J., Lukens J.N., Taniuchi S., Bohinjec J., Francois F., Klotman M.E., Diaz G.A. Mutations in the chemokine receptor gene CXCR4 are associated with WHIM syndrome, a combined immunodeficiency disease. *Nature Genetics*, 2003, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 70–74. doi: 10.1038/ng1149
18. Ito Y., Grivel J.C., Chen S., Kiselyeva Y., Reichelderfer P., Margolis L. CXCR4-tropic HIV-1 suppresses replication of CCR5-tropic HIV-1 in human lymphoid tissue by selective induction of CC-chemokines. *J. Infect. Dis.*, 2004, vol. 189, no. 3, pp. 506–514. doi: 10.1086/381153

19. Ivanov S., Lagunin A., Filimonov D., Tarasova O. Network-based analysis of OMICS data to understand the HIV-host interaction. *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, vol. 11: 1314. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01314
20. Juno J.A., Fowke K.R. Clarifying the role of G protein signaling in HIV infection: new approaches to an old question. *AIDS Rev.*, 2010, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 164–176.
21. Keiran N., Ceperuelo-Mallafre V., Calvo E., Hernández-Alvarez M.I., Ejarque M., Núñez-Roa C., Horrillo D., Maymó-Masip E., Rodríguez M.M., Fradera R., de la Rosa J.V., Jorba R., Megia A., Zorzano A., Medina-Gómez G., Serena C., Castrillo A., Vendrell J., Fernández-Veledo S. SUCNR1 controls an anti-inflammatory program in macrophages to regulate the metabolic response to obesity. *Nat. Immunol.*, 2019, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 581–592. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0372-7
22. Kiertiburanakul S., Sungkanuparph S. Emerging of HIV drug resistance: epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment and prevention. *Curr. HIV Res.*, 2009, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 273–278. doi: 10.2174/157016209788347976
23. Kim C.Y., Baek S., Cha J., Yang S., Kim E., Marcotte E.M., Hart T., Lee I. HumanNet v3: an improved database of human gene networks for disease research. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2022, vol. 50, no. D1, pp. D632–D639. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab1048
24. Kim S.D., Kim J.M., Jo S.H., Lee H.Y., Lee S.Y., Shim J.W., Seo S.K., Yun J., Bae Y.S. Functional expression of formyl peptide receptor family in human NK cells. *J. Immunol.*, 2009, vol. 183, no. 9, pp. 5511–5517. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0802986
25. Koenen J., Bachelier F., Balabanian K., Schlecht-Louf G., Gallego C. Atypical chemokine receptor 3 (ACKR3): a comprehensive overview of its expression and potential roles in the immune system. *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 2019, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 809–818. doi: 10.1124/mol.118.115329
26. Kohlmeier J.E., Cookenham T., Miller S.C., Roberts A.D., Christensen J.P., Thomsen A.R., Woodland D.L. CXCR3 directs antigen-specific effector CD4⁺ T cell migration to the lung during parainfluenza virus infection. *J. Immunol.*, 2009, vol. 183, no. 7, pp. 4378–4384. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0902022
27. Kurnik D., Muszkat M., Friedman E.A., Sofowora G.G., Diedrich A., Xie H.G., Harris P.A., Choi L., Wood A.J., Stein C.M. Effect of the alpha2C-adrenoreceptor deletion322-325 variant on sympathetic activity and cardiovascular measures in healthy subjects. *J. Hypertens.*, 2007, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 763–771. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e328017f6e9
28. Mabrouk N., Tran T., Sam I., Pourmir I., Gruel N., Granier C., Pineau J., Gey A., Kobold S., Fabre E., Tartour E. CXCR6 expressing T cells: functions and role in the control of tumors. *Front. Immunol.*, 2022, vol. 13: 1022136. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1022136
29. Mabuka J.M., Mackelprang R.D., Lohman-Payne B., Majiwa M., Bosire R., John-Stewart G., Rowland-Jones S., Overbaugh J., Farquhar C. CCR2-641 polymorphism is associated with lower maternal HIV-1 viral load and reduced vertical HIV-1 transmission. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.*, 2009, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 235–237. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e31819c155b
30. Martens K., Steelant B., Bullens D.M.A. Taste receptors: the gatekeepers of the airway epithelium. *Cells*, 2021, vol. 10, no. 11: 2889. doi: 10.3390/cells10112889
31. McMyn N.F., Varriale J., Fray E.J., Zitzmann C., MacLeod H., Lai J., Singhal A., Moskovljevic M., Garcia M.A., Lopez B.M., Hariharan V., Rhodehouse K., Lynn K., Tebas P., Mounzer K., Montaner L.J., Benko E., Kovacs C., Hoh R., Simonetti F.R., Laird G.M., Deeks S.G., Ribeiro R.M., Perelson A.S., Siliciano R.F., Siliciano J.M. The latent reservoir of inducible, infectious HIV-1 does not decrease despite decades of antiretroviral therapy. *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2023, vol. 133, no. 17: e171554. doi: 10.1172/JCI171554
32. Na J., Zhou W., Yin M., Hu Y., Ma X. GNA13 promotes the proliferation and migration of lung squamous cell carcinoma cells through regulating the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. *Tissue Cell*, 2022, vol. 76: 101795. doi: 10.1016/j.tice.2022.101795
33. Nemes B., Böleskei K., Kecskés A., Kormos V., Gaszner B., Aczél T., Hegedüs D., Pintér E., Helyes Z., Sándor Z. Human somatostatin SST4 receptor transgenic mice: construction and brain expression pattern characterization. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, vol. 22, no. 7: 3758. doi: 10.3390/ijms22073758
34. Ruckriegel S., Loris J., Wert K., Bauerschmitz G., Gallwas J., Gründker C. Knockdown of G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1) enhances tumor-supportive properties in cervical carcinoma cells. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics*, 2023, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 281–297. doi: 10.21873/cgp.20381
35. Schafer C.T., Chen Q., Tesmer J.J.G., Handel T.M. Atypical chemokine receptor 3 “senses” CXC chemokine receptor 4 activation through GPCR kinase phosphorylation. *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 2023, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 174–186. doi: 10.1124/molpharm.123.000710
36. Schemelev A.N., Davydenko V.S., Ostankova Y.V., Reingard D.E., Serikova E.N., Zueva E.B., Totolian A.A. Involvement of human cellular proteins and structures in realization of the HIV life cycle: a comprehensive review. *Viruses*, 2024, vol. 16, no. 11: 1682. doi: 10.3390/v16111682
37. Shchemelev A.N., Ostankova Y.V., Zueva E.B., Semenov A.V., Totolian A.A. Detection of patient HIV-1 drug resistance mutations in Russia’s Northwestern Federal District in patients with treatment failure. *Diagnostics (Basel)*, 2022, vol. 12, no. 8: 1821. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12081821
38. Shimizu T., De Wispelaere A., Winkler M., D’Souza T., Caylor J., Chen L., Dastvan F., Deou J., Cho A., Larena-Avellaneda A., Reidy M., Daum G. Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 3 promotes neointimal hyperplasia in mouse iliac-femoral arteries. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.*, 2012, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 955–961. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.111.241034
39. Spathakis M., Dovrolis N., Filidou E., Kandilogiannakis L., Tarapatzi G., Valatas V., Drygiannakis I., Paspaliaris V., Arvanitidis K., Manolopoulos V.G., Kolios G., Vradelis S. Exploring microbial metabolite receptors in inflammatory bowel disease: an in silico analysis of their potential role in inflammation and fibrosis. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*, 2024, vol. 17, no. 492. doi: 10.3390/ph17040492
40. Steiniger B., Barth P., Hellinger A. The perifollicular and marginal zones of the human splenic white pulp: do fibroblasts guide lymphocyte immigration? *Am. J. Pathol.*, 2001, vol. 159, no. 2, pp. 501–512. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61722-1
41. Tsou C.L., Peters W., Si Y., Slaymaker S., Aslanian A.M., Weisberg S.P., Mack M., Charo I.F. Critical roles for CCR2 and MCP-3 in monocyte mobilization from bone marrow and recruitment to inflammatory sites. *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2007, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 902–909. doi: 10.1172/JCI29919
42. Van Op den Bosch J., Torfs P., De Winter B.Y., De Man J.G., Pelckmans P.A., Van Marck E., Grundy D., Van Nassauw L., Timmermans J.P. Effect of genetic SSTR4 ablation on inflammatory peptide and receptor expression in the non-inflamed and inflamed murine intestine. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.*, 2009, vol. 13, no. 9B, pp. 3283–3295. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00760.x

43. Wareing M.D., Lyon A.B., Lu B., Gerard C., Sarawar S.R. Chemokine expression during the development and resolution of a pulmonary leukocyte response to influenza A virus infection in mice. *J. Leukoc. Biol.*, 2004, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 886–895. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1203644
44. Xu Z., Gong L., Peng P., Liu Y., Xue C., Cao Y. Porcine enteric alphacoronavirus inhibits IFN- α , IFN- β , OAS, Mx1, and PKR mRNA expression in infected Peyer's patches in vivo. *Front. Vet. Sci.*, 2020, vol. 7: 449. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00449
45. Yagensky O., Kohansal-Nodehi M., Gunaseelan S., Rabe T., Zafar S., Zerr I., Härtig W., Urlaub H., Chua J.J. Increased expression of heme-binding protein 1 early in Alzheimer's disease is linked to neurotoxicity. *Elife*, 2019, vol. 8: e47498. doi: 10.7554/eLife.47498
46. Yan Y., Chen R., Wang X., Hu K., Huang L., Lu M., Hu Q. CCL19 and CCR7 expression, signaling pathways, and adjuvant functions in viral infection and prevention. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 2019, vol. 7: 212. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2019.00212

Авторы:

Давыденко В.С., младший научный сотрудник лаборатории иммунологии и вирусологии ВИЧ-инфекции, аспирант ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия;

Останкова Ю.В., к.б.н., зав. лабораторией иммунологии и вирусологии ВИЧ-инфекции; старший научный сотрудник лаборатории молекулярной иммунологии ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия;

Щемелев А.Н., младший научный сотрудник лаборатории иммунологии и вирусологии ВИЧ-инфекции ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия;

Ануфриева Е.В., младший научный сотрудник лаборатории иммунологии и вирусологии ВИЧ-инфекции ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия;

Кушнарева В.В., лаборант-исследователь лаборатории иммунологии и вирусологии ВИЧ-инфекции ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия;

Тотolian А.А., академик РАН, д.м.н., профессор, зав. лабораторией молекулярной иммунологии, директор ФБУН НИИ эпидемиологии и микробиологии имени Пастера, Санкт-Петербург, Россия; зав. кафедрой иммунологии Первого Санкт-Петербургского медицинского университета имени академика И.П. Павлова, Санкт-Петербург, Россия.

Authors:

Davydenko V.S., Junior Researcher, Laboratory of Immunology and Virology of HIV Infection, PhD Student, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation;

Ostankova Yu.V., PhD (Biology), Head of the Laboratory of Immunology and Virology HIV-Infection; Senior Researcher, Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation;

Schemelev A.N., Junior Researcher, Laboratory of Immunology and Virology of HIV Infection, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation;

Anufrieva E.V., Junior Researcher, Laboratory of Immunology and Virology of HIV Infection, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation;

Kushnareva V.V., Research Laboratory Assistant, Laboratory of Immunology and Virology of HIV Infection, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation;

Totolian A.A., RAS Full Member, DSc (Medicine), Professor, Head of the Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Director of St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation; Head of the Department of Immunology, Pavlov First St. Petersburg State Medical University, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation.