

**THE EFFECTS OF VALACYCLOVIR ON POLYOMAVIRUS INFECTION
(BKV) IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS**

Samad i K. ^a,

Ghorbansabbagh M. ^b,

Raesi V. ^c,

Marouzi P. ^b,

Sharifipour F. ^b,

Jalambadani Z. ^a

^a Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran.

^b Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

^c Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran.

**ВЛИЯНИЕ ВАЛАЦИКЛОВИРА НА ПОЛИОМАВИРУСНУЮ
ИНФЕКЦИЮ (ПВИ) У РЕЦИПИЕНТОВ ТРАНСПЛАНТАЦИИ ПОЧЕК**

Самади К. ¹,

Горбансаббах М. ²,

Раези В. ³,

Марузи П. ²,

Шарифипур Ф. ²,

Джаламбадани З. ¹

¹ Университет медицинских наук Сабзевар, Сабзевар, Иран.

² Университет Мешхеда медицинских наук, Мешхед, Иран.

³ Бирджандский университет медицинских наук, Бирджанд, Иран.

Abstract

Polyomavirus-associated nephropathy (PVAN) is one of the most serious infectious complications in allograft recipients, with the BK virus (BKV) being the primary etiologic agent. This study was conducted to investigate the efficacy of valacyclovir on BK virus (BKV) infection and viremia control in infected patients in Iran.

This quasi-experimental study involved 21 Iranian patients. All kidney transplant recipients with a confirmed diagnosis of BKV infection based on renal biopsy and PCR were administered standard therapy (reduced doses of immunosuppressive drugs) with or without valacyclovir at a one-gram dose twice daily for one month. After collecting the data, the data was analyzed using SPSS 23. The K-S test confirmed the normality of the quantitative data. Chi-square for trend, independent-t, and Fisher's exact tests were used to examine group homogeneity in terms of socio-demographic characteristics. Before the intervention, a t-test was used to compare mean scores among the groups; and repeated measures independent sample test, pair sample test, chi square test and ANOVA. The significance level of $P < 0.05$ was considered for all tests.

The mean creatinine level, mean GFR (Glomerular Filtration Rate) level, and median viral load in the serum were not significantly different between the two groups at the time of graft rejection diagnosis. One month after treatment, the serum viral load decreased in 90.9% of patients in the intervention group and 50% of patients in the control group, with the difference being statistically significant ($p=0.038$). Also, in the two-month review, the results showed that the reduction of the virus serum load level was observed in 81.8% of patients in the intervention group and 40% of patients in the control group, and this difference was statistically significant ($p=0.049$). Mean age, body mass index, and transplant duration were comparable between the two groups. Neither creatinine nor GFR

levels differed significantly between the two groups after the intervention ($P=0.557$ and $P=0.387$).

Valacyclovir can effectively reduce the serum viral load in BKV-infected kidney transplant recipients. This reduction, however, is not accompanied by an improvement in renal function or prevention of rejection.

Keywords: Kidney transplant, polyomavirus infection, valacyclovir.

Резюме

Нефропатия, ассоциированная с полиомавирусом (ПВАН), является одним из самых серьезных инфекционных осложнений у реципиентов аллотрансплантата, причем основным этиологическим агентом является вирус ВК (BKV). Настоящее исследование было проведено для изучения эффективности валацикловира на BKV-инфекцию и контроль виремии у инфицированных пациентов в Иране.

В поведенном квазиэкспериментальном исследовании приняли участие 21 пациент из Ирана. Всем реципиентам почечного трансплантата с подтвержденным диагнозом инфекции BKV на основе биопсии почки и ПЦР назначалась стандартная терапия (сниженные дозы иммунодепрессантов) с валацикловиrom или без него в дозе один грамм два раза в день в течение одного месяца. После сбора данных они были проанализированы с помощью программы SPSS 23. Тест К-S подтвердил нормальность количественных распределения данных. Для изучения однородности группы по социально-демографическим характеристикам использовались методы хи-квадрат для тренда, независимый t-критерии и точный метод Фишера. Перед вмешательством использовался t-тест для сравнения средних баллов среди групп; повторные измерения независимого выборочного теста, парного выборочного теста, критерия хи-квадрат и дисперсионного анализа. Для всех тестов уровень достоверности был установлен $P < 0,05$.

Средний уровень креатинина, средний уровень СКФ (скорость клубочковой фильтрации) и медианная вирусная нагрузка в сыворотке существенно не отличались между двумя группами на момент постановки диагноза отторжения трансплантата. Через месяц после лечения вирусная нагрузка в сыворотке статистически достоверно снизилась у 90,9% пациентов в группе вмешательства и у 50% пациентов в контрольной группе ($p = 0,038$). Кроме того, в ходе двухмесячного мониторинга показано, что достоверное

снижение уровня вирусной нагрузки в сыворотке наблюдалось у 81,8% пациентов в группе вмешательства и у 40% пациентов в контрольной группе ($p = 0,049$). Средний возраст, индекс массы тела и продолжительность трансплантации были сопоставимы между двумя группами. Уровни креатинина и уровни СКФ не различались существенно между двумя группами после вмешательства ($P=0,557$ и $P=0,387$).

Валацикловир может эффективно снижать вирусную нагрузку в сыворотке у реципиентов почечного трансплантата, инфицированных BKV. Однако это снижение не сопровождается улучшением функции почек или предотвращением отторжения.

Ключевые слова: Трансплантация почки, полиомавирусная инфекция, валацикловир.

1 Introduction

2 BK polyomavirus is a circular, double-stranded DNA virus from the polyomavirus
3 family. Based on DNA sequence variations, BK polyomavirus can be divided into
4 six subtypes or genotypes. Genotype I is the most frequent worldwide (80%),
5 followed by Genotype IV (15%). BK polyomavirus is ubiquitous in the population,
6 with >80% of adults being seropositive, with infection typically being acquired
7 during childhood[1].

8 Polyomavirus-associated nephropathy (PVAN) is one of the most serious infectious
9 complications in allograft recipients, with the BK virus (BKV) being the primary
10 etiologic agent. It is characterized by cytopathic changes in epithelial and glomerular
11 cells in the transplanted kidney [1,8,12,15,23,24,27,31]. Approximately 30-50% of
12 transplant recipients develop BKV nephropathy due to the reactivation of latent
13 polyomaviruses in the urinary tract [14,15,27].

14 The common characteristics of early events in the pathogenesis of BKV
15 nephropathy and the severe clinical overlap necessitate preventive treatment
16 strategies to impede disease progression and obvious renal damage, even before the
17 diagnosis is confirmed by biopsy. Validated protocols for patient screening and
18 preventive therapies are now included in the recommendations for graft management
19 following renal transplantation [4,11,13,15,19,25,35,36].

20 There are too many clinical indications for renal biopsy leading to a histological
21 diagnosis of definitive PVAN, which are contingent on the standard of each medical
22 facility, the set of treatment measures, and the availability of resources. Some kidney
23 transplant facilities typically perform a biopsy on patients with viremia or possible
24 PVAN to ensure the diagnosis of PVAN. Additionally, renal biopsy allows for the
25 determination of the severity of acute and chronic kidney tissue damage, as well as
26 the evaluation of other kidney diseases that may affect allograft functionality and
27 patient management [13,15,26].

28 The biopsy is especially important when we consider that quantitative PCR tests are
29 not standard for evaluating BK viremia and that BK viremia titers only predict the
30 degree of viral kidney damage in a limited way and thus cannot provide diagnostic
31 certainty [2,21,23,27,38].

32 In the vast majority of cases, kidney allograft biopsies are performed to diagnose
33 unexplained and unspecified clinical disorders in the functioning of transplanted
34 kidneys, which can be caused by underlying BK nephropathy. Definitive PVAN may
35 also be found unexpectedly in surveillance biopsies of patients with stable grafts
36 [5,23,34].

37 Indeed, biopsies of kidney allografts are performed under various clinical conditions
38 and for various indications, during which morphological evidence of PVAN may be
39 observed. In developed countries, the incidence of biopsy-proven PVAN ranges
40 between 5% and 6%, although its prevalence varies considerably between
41 institutions [2,38].

42 The highest rate of definitive PVAN is observed in kidney transplants with ABO-
43 incompatible donors and recipients (18%) and after desensitization in highly
44 sensitized allograft recipients (20%) [9,38]. The Renal Pathology Society, the
45 Banff Working Group on Liver Allograft Pathology, and the Nephrology Working
46 Group of the European Society of Pathology have proposed a standard approach to
47 classifying this disorder, which has been supported and validated by studies [28].

48 Approaches such as reducing the dose of suppressive drugs to the use of antiviral
49 treatments based on the severity of viremia and the occurrence of evidence of
50 nephropathy have been introduced [39]. Brincidofovir is a prodrug of cidofovir that
51 has been used so far, although limited results of its success rate have been reported
52 [29]. IVIG products containing high titers of BK virus-neutralizing antibodies have
53 been used as adjuvant therapy and increase the virus clearance rate from serum
54 [22,40].

55 So far, there is no consensus regarding the definitive treatment of BK virus
56 nephropathy in kidney transplant patients, and this research was conducted for the
57 first time to investigate the effect of valacyclovir on treating BKV infection and
58 controlling viremia in infected patients.

59 2 Methods

60 This quasi-experimental study evaluated 21 patients with a confirmed BKV
61 infection (PCR test results) who were referred to Hospitals in Iran. The sample size
62 was calculated using the formula for a quasi-experimental survey [41].

63 In this study, random allocation was not used. With a margin of error = 0.05 and =
64 10%, an expected power of 90%, a Z value of 1.28. The participants were 21 Iranian
65 patients.

$$66 \quad n = \frac{(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^2 (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}$$

67 Evidence of BKV nephropathy and BKV viremia constituted the inclusion criteria,
68 while exclusion criteria comprised lack of consent, a history of hypersensitivity
69 reactions to valacyclovir, and the incidence of complications upon valacyclovir
70 therapy.

71 **Ethical Approval**

72 Ethical approval in this study, all procedures performed on human samples were
73 conducted following the relevant guidelines and regulations of the Helsinki
74 Declaration. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee
75 (IR.MUMS.MEDICAL.REC.1399.031) in Mashhad Iran.

76 **Study groups**

77 In addition to intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), patients received standard
78 transplant drug dose reduction and modification in case required. The control group
79 received the standard routine treatment, while the intervention group received one
80 gram of valacyclovir (Abidi Company) twice daily in addition to the standard
81 treatment. The drug dosage was modified according to creatinine clearance. PCR
82 was reiterated one and two months after treatment initiation to assess and
83 compare viremia levels between groups. Uniplex Real-time PCR on 21 virus DNA
84 samples extracted from plasma based on the ISEX version of the kits JC Virus PCR
85 and BK Virus PCR related Vídeňská, Czech Republic(GeneProof) was performed.
86 There were not any adverse effects of Valacyclovir noticed during treatment. A
87 decrease in viral load was defined as a decline in the serum level of the viral genome
88 after treatment relative to the baseline value at the time of diagnosis. Based on the
89 objectives of the research project, the researcher designed a checklist that included
90 gender, age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), cause of renal failure, duration
91 of transplant, coinfection with CMV, polyomavirus PCR result (before treatment,
92 one month after treatment, and two months after treatment). All kidney transplant
93 patients were infected with BKV PCR (BKV or kidney biopsy proven at the time of
94 study with interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA <20). Serum creatinine
95 level prior to and two months after treatment, GFR prior to and two months after
96 treatment, and the study group.

97 **Data analyses**

98 After collecting the data, the data was analyzed using SPSS 23. The K-S test
99 confirmed the normality of the quantitative data. Chi-square for trend, independent-
100 t, and Fisher's exact tests were used to examine group homogeneity in terms of socio-
101 demographic characteristics. Before the intervention, a t-test was used to compare
102 mean scores among the groups; and repeated measures independent sample test, pair
103 sample test, chi square test and ANOVA. The significance level of $P < 0.05$ was
104 considered for all tests.

105 3 Results

106 A total of 21 patients were examined, 11 patients were included in the intervention
107 group and 10 patients were included in the control group. The average age in
108 intervention group patients was 39 ± 10.4 years and in control group patients was 32
109 ± 8.2 years, the observed difference was not significant ($p=0.104$). The average
110 height in the intervention and control groups was 164.5 ± 7.9 and 166.9 ± 7.5 cm,
111 respectively, and the average weight in the intervention and control groups was 63
112 ± 15.5 and 66 ± 10.2 kg, respectively. None of the observed differences were
113 significant (p 0.496 and 0.612, respectively). The average body mass index in the
114 intervention group was 24.1 ± 6.7 Kg/m² and in the control group it was 24.7 ± 3.1
115 Kg/m², so there was no significant difference between the two groups ($P=0.804$).
116 Mean age, height, BMI, and weight did not differ significantly between the control
117 and intervention groups before of intervention, as displayed in Table 1 ($P > 0.05$). In
118 both groups, the median transplant duration was 12 months.

119 According to the results of Table 2, the only symptom that led to the diagnosis of
120 transplant rejection in both groups was an increase in serum creatinine levels
121 ($P < 0.05$). Besides, this study did not detect CMV coinfection in any of the examined
122 patients in both groups ($P > 0.05$).

123 The only symptom that led to the diagnosis of transplant rejection in the patients of
124 both groups was the increase in serum creatinine. The result of this investigation
125 showed that the simultaneous infection with CMV was not observed in any of the

126 examined patients. Also, when transplant rejection was diagnosed, there was no
127 significant difference between the two groups in the average level of creatinine,
128 average level of GFR and average level of viral load in serum.

129 The mean creatinine level, mean GFR level, and median viral load in the serum were
130 not significantly different between the two groups at the time of graft rejection
131 diagnosis. One month after treatment, the serum viral load decreased in 90.9% of
132 patients in the intervention group and 50% of patients in the control group, with the
133 difference being statistically significant ($P=0.038$). In addition, the two-month
134 assessment revealed that 81.8% of patients in the intervention group and 40% in the
135 control group experienced a reduction in serum viral load, which is statistically
136 significant ($P=0.049$). Creatinine and GFR levels did not differ significantly
137 ($P=0.577$ and $P=0.387$) between the two groups at the post-intervention examination
138 (Table 3).

139 **4 Discussion**

140 According to the findings of this study, a significant decline in serum viral load was
141 observed one month after treatment with valacyclovir along with routine treatments
142 (90.9% in the intervention group and 50% in the control group) ($P=0.038$). Two
143 months after the intervention, too, there was a significant reduction in serum viral
144 load in the intervention group relative to the control group (81.8% in the intervention
145 group and 40% in the control group) ($P=0.049$).

146 According to the study findings, the administration of valacyclovir in BKV-infected
147 kidney transplant recipients can reduce the serum viral load. There is currently no
148 consensus on the definitive treatment for BKV nephropathy in kidney transplant
149 recipients. Approaches ranging from lowering the dose of suppressive drugs to using
150 antiviral treatments have been utilized based on the severity of viremia and evidence
151 of nephropathy [39].

152 If the number of BKV serum copies is below 10,000, a dose reduction of
153 immunosuppressive drugs will suffice. If there are more than 10,000 copies, a

154 common first step is reducing the calcineurin inhibitor dose by 25 to 50 percent.
155 Changing prescription drugs such as tacrolimus to cyclosporine A has been
156 associated with improved outcomes [7], although using this strategy increases the
157 likelihood of acute transplant rejection [10]. If the number of virus copies in the
158 serum remains elevated despite these interventions, the dose of mycophenolate
159 mofetil should be reduced by 50 percent, or the drug should be discontinued and
160 replaced with mTOR inhibitors [3,6] Changing the treatment from mycophenolate
161 mofetil to leflunomide is an additional strategy with typically positive outcomes
162 [15,16,42]. If the treatments above fail or in cases of resistance, cidofovir is the only
163 treatment option, although its nephrotoxicity limits its administration [17,18,20].
164 Brincidofovir is a prodrug of cidofovir that has been used thus far, although there
165 are only a few reports of its success rate [29]. In Reischig, Tomas study
166 Valganciclovir shows no superior efficacy in cytomegalovirus DNAemia prevention
167 compared with valacyclovir prophylaxis. However, the risk of biopsy-proven acute
168 rejection is higher with valacyclovir[33].

169 IVIG products with high BKV neutralizing antibody titers are used as an adjuvant
170 to accelerate virus clearance from [22,32,37,40].

171 There is no independent evidence regarding the role of valacyclovir in BK
172 nephropathy and viral viremia in transplant patients. Park et al. (2020) [30]
173 investigated the effect of prophylactic valacyclovir administration on the incidence
174 of cytomegalovirus infection in patients undergoing kidney transplantation. In this
175 study, this disorder was diagnosed in 1 out of 46 patients in the combined treatment
176 group and 3 out of 107 patients in the single drug treatment group; however, the
177 observed difference was not statistically significant. Valacyclovir prophylaxis
178 effectively decreased the occurrence of CMV infection in KTRs in their study.
179 Therefore, they should use valacyclovir prophylaxis for 3 months in KTRs with risk
180 factors such as old age, thymoglobulin induction, and delayed graft function.

181 As a strength, this controlled study was conducted with two groups that were similar
182 in basic information and included all new patients with transplant rejection, thereby
183 eliminating selection bias.

184 **Limitation:**

185 The primary limitation of this study is the small sample size, which restricts the
186 generalizability of its findings. Also, non-random sampling and the two-month
187 follow-up, particularly in the absence of improvement in kidney function, limits the
188 interpretation of the findings regarding the efficacy of this drug on transplantation's
189 ultimate outcome (as the main goal of treating BKV infection).

190 **5 Conclusion**

191 Based on the findings of this study, in kidney transplant recipients with BKV
192 infection, using valacyclovir can lower the amount of virus in the blood. However,
193 this treatment is neither lead to better kidney function nor prevent rejection of the
194 transplanted kidney based on the results of this study. It is suggested that future
195 research be conducted as multicenter clinical trials with large sample sizes, longer
196 follow-ups, and determination of the graft's outcome.

197 **Acknowledgments**

198 The authors would like to thank all the participants who kindly cooperated in the
199 process of the study. We also want to thank the Deputy of Research and Technology
200 of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

ТАБЛИЦЫ

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants in the study according to the studied groups before of intervention.

Parameter	Intervention Group Mean \pm SD	Control Group Mean \pm SD	P-value
Age (years)	39 \pm 10.4	32 \pm 8.2	P=0.104 *
Height (cm)	164.5 \pm 7.9	166.9 \pm 7.5	P=0.496 *
Weight (kg)	63 \pm 15.5	66 \pm 10.2	P=0.612 *
Body mass index (kg/m²)	24.1 \pm 6.7	24.7 \pm 3.1	P=0.804 *
Transplant time (month)	12	12	P=0.918 **

* The independent t-test result

Table 2. Comparison of gender and etiology of kidney failure per study group before of intervention.

Parameter		Intervention group Frequency (Percentage)	Control group Frequency (Percentage)	P-value
Gender	Male	5 (45.5)	3 (30)	P*=0.659
	Female	6 (54.5)	7 (70)	
Etiology of kidney failure	FSGS	1 (9.1)	(0) 0	P**=0.210
	Nephrotic syndromes	2 (18.2)	6 (60)	
	DM	2 (18.2)	6 (60)	
	Hypertension	2 (18.2)	1 (10)	
	PKD	1 (9.1)	(0) 0	
	Preeclampsia	1 (9.1)	(0) 0	
	Pyelonephritis	2 (18.2)	1 (10)	
	Reflux nephropathy	0 (0)	2 (20)	

DM: diabetes mellitus, FSGS: Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, PKD: Polycystic kidney disease

** One-way Anova *Chi square

Table 3. Comparing the frequency distribution of reductions in the serum viral load levels in the study groups.

Variables(Qualitative)		Intervention group Frequency (percentage)	Control Group Frequency (percentage)	P-value
One month after treatment	No	1 (9.1)	5 (50)	P=0.038 *
	Yes	10 (9.90)	5 (50)	
Two months after treatment	No	2 (18.2)	6 (60)	P=0.049 *
	Yes	9 (81.8)	4 (40)	
Creatinine level		2.2	2.2	3.2
GFR level		29.5	29.5	24.4
Serum viral load after one month		130,000	130,000	1,796,125
Serum viral load after two months		4900	4900	935875
Variables(Quantitative)		Intervention group (Mean±standard deviation)	Control Group (Mean±standard deviation)	P-value
Creatinine level		2.1 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.5	P=0.437 ***
GFR level		35 ± 3.11	42.8 ± 17.1	P=0.231 **
Serum viral load		479500	194675	P=0.512 **

*Based on the result of Fisher's exact test; **Based on the result of the Mann-Whitney test; ***Based on the independent t-test result

ТИТУЛЬНЫЙ ЛИСТ_МЕТАДААННЫЕ

Блок 1. Информация об авторе ответственном за переписку

Zeinab Jalambadani, Assistant Professor of Health Education and Promotion, Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran; Assistant Professor of Health Education and Promotion, Department of Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran;

fax: 05144018301;

telephone:05144018301;

e-mail: jalambadaniz@gmail.com / jalambadaniz@medsab.ac.ir

Блок 2. Информация об авторах

Katayoun Samadi, Assistant Professor of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran;

Mahin Ghorbansabbagh, Associate Professor of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran;

Vajihollah Raesi, Assistant Professor of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran;

Parviz Marouzi, Assistant Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Health Information Technology and Medical Records, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran;

Farzaneh Sharifipour, Associate Professor of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran;

e-mail: sharifipourf@mums.ac.ir

Блок 3. Метаданные статьи

THE EFFECTS OF VALACYCLOVIR ON POLYOMAVIRUS INFECTION (BKV) IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS

Сокращенное название статьи для верхнего колонтитула:

POLYOMAVIRUS INFECTION (BKV) IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS

ПОЛИОМАВИРУСНАЯ ИНФЕКЦИЯ (BKV) У РЕЦИПИЕНТОВ ПОЧЕЧНОЙ ТРАНСПЛАНТАЦИИ

Keywords: Kidney transplant, polyomavirus infection, valacyclovir.

Ключевые слова: трансплантация почки, полиомавирусная инфекция, валацикловир.

Оригинальные статьи.

Количество страниц текста – 6,

количество таблиц – 3,

количество рисунков – 0.

20.07.2024

Блок 1. Информация об авторе ответственном за переписку

Зейнаб Джаламбадани,

факс: 05144018301;

телефон:05144018301;

e-mail: jalambadaniz@gmail.com / jalambadaniz@medsab.ac.ir

Блок 2. Информация об авторах

Катаюн Самади, Доцент кафедры нефрологии, кафедра внутренней медицины, медицинский факультет, Университет медицинских наук Сабзевар, Сабзевар, Иран;

Махин Горбансаббах, Доцент кафедры нефрологии, кафедра внутренней медицины, медицинский факультет, Университет Мешхеда медицинских наук, Мешхед, Иран;

Ваджихолла Раези, Доцент кафедры нефрологии, кафедра внутренней медицины, медицинский факультет, Бирджандский университет медицинских наук, Иран;

Парвиз Марузи, Доцент кафедры биостатистики, кафедра медицинских информационных технологий медицинской документации, Школа парамедицинских наук, Мешхедский университет медицинских наук, Мешхед, Иран;

Фарзане Шарифипур, Доцент кафедры нефрологии, кафедра внутренней медицины, медицинский факультет, Университет Мешхеда медицинских наук, Мешхед, Иран;

e-mail: sharifipourf@mums.ac.ir

Блок 3. Метаданные статьи

THE EFFECTS OF VALACYCLOVIR ON POLYOMAVIRUS INFECTION
(BKV) IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS

Сокращенное название статьи для верхнего колонтитула:

POLYOMAVIRUS INFECTION (BKV) IN KIDNEY TRANSPLANT
RECIPIENTS

ПОЛИОМАВИРУСНАЯ ИНФЕКЦИЯ (BKV) У РЕЦИПИЕНТОВ
ПОЧЕЧНОЙ ТРАНСПЛАНТАЦИИ

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

References sequence number	Authors,title of a publication and source where it was published,publishers imprint	Full name,title of a publication and source in English	References URL
1.	Binet, I., Nickeleit, V., Hirsch, H. H., Prince, O., Dalquen, P., Gudat, F., Mihatsch, M. J., & Thiel, G. Polyomavirus disease under new immunosuppressive drugs: a cause of renal graft dysfunction and graft loss.	Transplantation., 1999, vol.67,no. 6,pp.918-922.	https://doi.org/10.1097/0007890-199903270-00022
2.	Boan, P., Hewison, C., Swaminathan, R., Irish, A., Warr, K., Sinniah, R., Pryce, T. M., & Flexman, J. Optimal use of plasma and urine BK viral loads for screening and predicting BK nephropathy.	BMC Infect., 2016, vol.16,no. 342,pp.342	https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1652-6

3.	Bowman, L. J., Brueckner, A. J., & Doligalski, C. T. The Role of mTOR Inhibitors in the Management of Viral Infections: A Review of Current Literature	Transplantation., 2018,vol.102,no.2,pp. 50-59.	https://doi.org/10.1097/tp.0000000000001777
4.	Brennan, D. C., Agha, I., Bohl, D. L., Schnitzler, M. A., Hardinger, K. L., Lockwood, M., Torrence, S., Schuessler, R., Roby, T., Gaudreault-Keener, M., & Storch, G. A. Incidence of BK with tacrolimus versus cyclosporine and impact of preemptive immunosuppression reduction.	Am J Transplant., 2005,vol.5,no.3,pp. 582-594.	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.00742.x
5.	Buehrig, C. K., Lager, D. J., Stegall, M. D., Krepes, M. A., Kremers, W. K., Gloor, J. M., Schwab, T. R., Velosa,	Kidney Int.,2003.vol. 64,no.2,pp. 665-673.	https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00103.x

	J. A., Fidler, M. E., Larson, T. S., & Griffin, M. D. (2003). Influence of surveillance renal allograft biopsy on diagnosis and prognosis of polyomavirus-associated nephropathy.		
6.	Bussalino, E., Marsano, L., Parodi, A., Russo, R., Massarino, F., Ravera, M., Gaggero, G., Fontana, I., Garibotto, G., Zaza, G., Stallone, G., & Paoletti, E. Everolimus for BKV nephropathy in kidney transplant recipients: a prospective, controlled study.	J Nephrol., 2020	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-020-00777-2
7.	Chen, X. T., Li, J., Deng, R. H., Yang, S. C., Chen, Y. Y., Chen, P. S., Wang, Z. Y., Huang, Y., Wang, C. X., &	Biosci Rep., 2019, vol.39, no.2.	https://doi.org/10.1042/bsr20182058

	Huang, G. The therapeutic effect of switching from tacrolimus to low-dose cyclosporine A in renal transplant recipients with BK virus nephropathy.		
8.	Drachenberg, C. B., Beskow, C. O., Cangro, C. B., Bourquin, P. M., Simsir, A., Fink, J., Weir, M. R., Klassen, D. K., Bartlett, S. T., & Papadimitriou, J. C. Human polyoma virus in renal allograft biopsies: morphological findings and correlation with urine cytology.	Hum Pathol.,1999, vol.30,no.8,pp. 970-977	https://doi.org/10.1016/s0046-8177(99)90252-6
9.	Gabardi, S., Townsend, K., Martin, S. T., & Chandraker, A. Evaluating the impact of pre-transplant desensitization utilizing a	Transpl Infect Dis.,2013,vol. 15,no.4,pp. 361-368.	https://doi.org/10.1111/tid.12087

	plasmapheresis and low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin protocol on BK viremia in renal transplant recipients.		
10.	Gard, L., van Doesum, W., Niesters, H. G. M., van Son, W. J., Diepstra, A., Stegeman, C. A., Groen, H., Riezebos-Brilman, A., & Sanders, J. S. A delicate balance between rejection and BK polyomavirus associated nephropathy; A retrospective cohort study in renal transplant recipients.	PLoS One.,2017, vol.12,no.6,pp. e0178801.	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178801
11.	Hardinger, K. L., Koch, M. J., Bohl, D. J., Storch, G. A., & Brennan, D. C. BK-virus and the impact of pre-	Am J Transplant., 2010,vol.10,no.2,pp. 407-415.	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02952.x

	emptive immunosuppression reduction: 5-year results.		
12.	Hirsch, H. H. Polyomavirus BK nephropathy: a (re-)emerging complication in renal transplantation.	Am J Transplant., 2002,vol.2,no.1, pp.25-30	https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-6143.2002.020106.x
13.	Hirsch, H. H., Brennan, D. C., Drachenberg, C. B., Ginevri, F., Gordon, J., Limaye, A. P., Mihatsch, M. J., Nickleit, V., Ramos, E., Randhawa, P., Shapiro, R., Steiger, J., Suthanthiran, M., & Trofe, J. Polyomavirus-associated nephropathy in renal transplantation: interdisciplinary analyses and recommendations.	Transplantation., 2005,vol.79,no.10,pp.1277-1286.	https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000156165.83160.09

14.	Hirsch, H. H., Knowles, W., Dickenmann, M., Passweg, J., Klimkait, T., Mihatsch, M. J., & Steiger, J. Prospective study of polyomavirus type BK replication and nephropathy in renal-transplant recipients.	N Engl J Med., 2002,vol.347,no.7,pp. 488-496.	https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020439
15.	Hirsch, H. H., & Randhawa, P. BK polyomavirus in solid organ transplantation.	Am J Transplant., 2013,vol.13,no.4, pp.179-188.	https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.12110
16.	Josephson, M. A., Gillen, D., Javadi, B., Kadambi, P., Meehan, S., Foster, P., Harland, R., Thistlethwaite, R. J., Garfinkel, M., Atwood, W., Jordan, J., Sadhu, M., Millis, M. J., & Williams, J. Treatment of renal	Transplantation., 2006,vol.81,no.5,pp 704-710.	https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000181149.76113.50

	allograft polyoma BK virus infection with leflunomide.		
17.	Kadambi, P. V., Josephson, M. A., Williams, J., Corey, L., Jerome, K. R., Meehan, S. M., & Limaye, A. P. Treatment of refractory BK virus-associated nephropathy with cidofovir.	Am J Transplant.,2003,vol. 3,no.2, pp.186-191.	https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-6143.2003.30202.x
18.	Keller, L. S., Peh, C. A., Nolan, J., Bannister, K. M., Clarkson, A. R., & Faull, R. J. BK transplant nephropathy successfully treated with cidofovir.	Nephrol Dial Transplant.,2003,vol. 18,no.5,pp. 1013-1014.	https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfg061
19.	Kuypers, D. R. Management of polyomavirus-associated nephropathy in renal transplant recipients.	Nat Rev Nephrol., 2012,vol.8,no.7, pp.390-402.	https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2012.64

